

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/622,030	SOGA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Callie E. Shosho	1714	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Callie E. Shosho.

(3) _____.

 (2) Jennifer Woodside.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 October 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,3-5, and 7-9

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the ~~Notice of Allowability~~ Part II above.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner's amendment was agreed to and authorized by Ms. Woodside.

The amendment to each of claims 1, 3-5, and 7-9 to change "hydrolyzing vinyl ether with ester side chains" to "hydrolyzing vinyl ether having ester side chains" was made not to change the scope of the claims but to clarify that what is being hydrolyzed is a vinyl ether having ester side chains.

Further, the amendment to each of claims 5 and 7-8 was made to ensure that proper antecedent basis is recited with respect to the ink.